

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
'Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uxpto.gov

				1	,
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ΑT	ITORNEY DÜCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,827	10/29/2001	Jason R. Thompson	,	DSF10	5624
75	90 01/20/2004		<i>i</i> [EXAM	INER
Jeffrey P. Calfa		,		ROSENBERG, LAURA B	
International Tr	uck Intellectual		بنبيع والمرافق	· .	
Property Compa	any, L.L.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4201 Winfield I	Rd.			3616	
Warrenville, IL	. 60555		DΔ	TE MAII ED: 01/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	10/047,827	THOMPSON ET AL	. 7			
nancely model.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura B Rosenberg	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
_	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b						
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or to vould be rejected is provided be	o)⊠ will be entered low or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-40						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	 -				
10. Other:	1 11.	<i></i>				
	PAUL N. DICKS	ion 1/5/-9				

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art rejection as set forth in the final rejection reads on the amended claims, and the applicant's arguments are not persuasive.